Occurrence of basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin under different DMARD therapies

Imke Redeker¹, Peter Herzer², Cornelia Kühne³, Ilka Schwarze⁴, Martin Schäfer¹, Anja Strangfeld¹

- 1 German Rheumatism Research Centre, Epidemiology and Health Services Research, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin
- 2 Scientific Advisory Board, Munich
- 3 Rheumatologist, Haldensleben
- 4 Rheumatologist, Leipzig

Introduction:

Squamous and basal cell carcinomas are the most common malignancies of the skin; they are subsumed under non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC). The risk of NMSC is increased with sun exposure. Whether treatment with certain DMARDs influences their occurrence is not clear. A study of Swedish registry data reported an increased risk of NMSC in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) treated with abatacept, calling for replication (1). Using data from the German RABBIT registry, we studied the risk of NMSC under different DMARD therapies.

Methods:

Data from patients with RA who were enrolled in RABBIT with the start of a biologic (b), conventional synthetic (cs) or targeted synthetic (ts) DMARD treatment were analyzed. Patients enrolled between January 2007 and October 2020 with at least one follow-up visit were included. Crude incidence rates of NMSC under different treatments using an induction period of 3 months were determined. Adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) of NMSC were calculated using Cox regression. Further adjustment for factors influencing treatment decision ("confounding by indication") was performed using inverse probability weighting (IPW).

Results:

A total of 13,870 patients were included in the analysis. There were 17 squamous cell carcinomas (0.12%) and 120 basal cell carcinomas (0.85% of patients) reported. Clear differences in patient characteristics at the start of each treatment (table 1) were seen between the classic first-line bDMARDs (tumour necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors) and the second-line bDMARDs, with longer disease duration, significantly more severe functional limitations, higher number of prior treatment failures and more comorbidities. A comparison of crude incidence rates of NMSC under different DMARD treatments showed that the occurrence of NMSC was significantly more frequent under abatacept than under TNF inhibitors (figure).

In the adjusted Cox regression, a significantly increased risk of NMSC was observed with abatacept treatment (HR: 2.00 [95% confidence interval, CI: 1.28-3.11]) compared to csDMARD treatment. Furthermore, increasing age and number of comorbidities were significantly associated with a higher risk of NMSC occurrence (table 2). In the analysis performed with IPW, the effect for abatacept was amplified (HR: 2.18 [95% CI: 1.30-3.65]), with age and number of comorbidities also remaining significantly associated with a higher risk of NMSC (table 2).

Conclusion:

This analysis of a prospective cohort study investigated the incidence rates and risk of NMSC in RA patients treated with different modes of action. Basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas were most commonly reported with abatacept treatment. Even after adjusting for differences in patient characteristics, there was a significantly increased risk of NMSC in patients treated with abatacept. It should be noted that patients initiated abatacept therapy had higher burden of comorbidity and considerable differences compared to all other treatment options. The higher risk of NMSC may be attributed to residual and unmeasured confounding due to higher burden of comorbidity or cumulative effect of therapies when used as a second or third line bDMARD.

References:

1 Wadstrom H, Frisell T, Askling J, Anti-Rheumatic Therapy in Sweden Study G. Malignant Neoplasms in Patients With Rheumatoid Arthritis Treated With Tumor Necrosis Factor Inhibitors, Tocilizumab, Abatacept, or Rituximab in Clinical Practice: A Nationwide Cohort Study From Sweden. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(11):1605-12.

Disclosure Statement:

RABBIT is supported by a joint and unconditional grant from AbbVie, Amgen, BMS, Celltrion, Fresenius-Kabi, Gilead, Hexal, Lilly, MSD, Pfizer, Roche, Samsung Bioepis, Sanofi-Aventis, UCB, and Viatris.

Table 1 Characteristics of patients (N=13,870) at the beginning of a therapy episode							
	TNF inhibitors	Abatacept	Rituximab	IL-6 Inhibitors	Janus kinase inhibitor	csDMARDS (b/tsDMARD- naive)	
No. of therapy episodes	7647	1934	2351	3243	2173	3782	
Women	73.7%	76.5%	75.2%	76.2% 77.3%		73.8%	
Age, y (MV)	56.9	58.4	58.4	57.2	59.8	59.0	
Duration of illness, y (MV)	7.1	10.1	11.1	8.9	10.1	3.1	
FFbH, 0-100% (MV)	67.0	59.3	57.8	65.5 65.7		72.0	
DAS28, 0-10 (MV)	4.6	4.8	5.1	4.5	4.4	4.4	
CRP, mg/l (median)	5.1	8.0	6.1	4.9	6.2	4.0	

No. comorbi- dities (MV)	2.0	4.0	2.0	1.2	1.8	1.8
No. csDMARD failure (MV)	2.4	2.8	3.0	2.6	2.4	2.3
No. b/tsDMARD failure (MV)	1.5	2.9	2.8	2.5	3.0	0.0

No.: number; b/cs/tsDMARD: Biological/conventional synthetic/targeted synthetic DMARD; CRP: C-reactive protein; DAS28: Disease Activity Score with 28 joints; FFbH: Function Questionnaire Hanover [0-100]; MV: mean value; TNF: Tumornecrosisfactor; y: year

Table 2 Risk of non-melanoma skin cancer: results of multivariable Cox regression analysis with and without inverse probability weighting (IPW). Therapies were analysed with an ever-exposed approach using an induction period of 3 months.

	Model		Model		
	without IPW		with IPW		
	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	P-value	
Gender, female vs. male	0.87 (0.71-1.07)	0.1856	0.87 (0.68-1.10)	0.2447	
Age per 10 years	1.65 (1.37-2.00)	<.0001	1.79 (1.47-2.18)	<.0001	
DAS28 per unit	0.92 (0.78-1.07)	0.2709	0.86 (0.72-1.02)	0.0909	
Smoking, ever vs. never	0.90 (0.61-1.34)	0.6037	0.79 (0.49-1.26)	0.3228	
Psoriasis, yes vs. no	1.24 (0.45-3.42)	0.6735	1.13 (0.37-3.43)	0.8306	
Comorbidities per unit	1.11 (1.03-1.19)	0.0060	1.11 (1.03-1.19)	0.0045	
csDMARDs treatment (b/tsDMARD- naive)	Reference		Reference		
TNF inhibitors	1.07 (0.73-1.56)	0.7206	1.01 (0.66-1.55)	0.9501	
Abatacept	2.00 (1.28-3.11)	0.0023	2.18 (1.30-3.65)	0.0030	
Rituximab	0.93 (0.57-1.50)	0.7604	0.89 (0.52-1.53)	0.6717	
IL-6 inhibitors	0.75 (0.47-1.19)	0.2211	0.80 (0.46-1.38)	0.4215	
Janus kinase inhibitors	1.29 (0.65-2.58)	0.4643	0.90 (0.42-1.93)	0.7940	

b/cs/tsDMARD: biological/conventional synthetic/targeted synthetic DMARD; DAS28: Disease Activity Score with 28 joints; HR: hazard ratio; IPW: inverse probability weighting; CI: confidence interval; TNF: tumour necrosis factor.



